

## Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee REVIEW FORM CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

REVIEW CRITERIA – to be used by reviewers			
1. Introduction, specific aims, literature review	YES	NO	N/A
Is the literature review adequate?			
Are the study aims and objectives clearly specified?			
Is there adequate preliminary data to justify the study?			
Are adequate references provided?			
Is there appropriate justification for this study protocol?			
Why is it important to conduct this study? Will it add important knowledge to the field?			
Is this study worth doing in this particular setting?			
2. Scientific design	YES	NO	N/A
Is the scientific design adequate to answer the study question(s)?			
Is the scientific design adequately described and justified?			
Does the study involve a placebo?			
If so, is the need for placebo adequately justified?			
Could the study be done without a placebo?			
Are study aims and objectives achievable in the given time frame?			
Do the principal and co-investigators have appropriate academic and clinical credentials and experience to conduct this study?			
2.1 Qualitative research:			
Does the researcher have experience in conducting qualitative research?			
Does the researcher demonstrate an understanding of the qualitative paradigm and method chosen?			
3. Selection of participants	YES	NO	N/A
Is the choice of participants appropriate for the study question?			
Is the rationale for the proposed number of participants reasonable?			
Is participant selection equitable?			
Are inclusion and exclusion criteria clearly stated and reasonable?			
Is the inclusion of children, pregnant women or other vulnerable groups adequately justified?			
Are adequate safeguards in place to protect the rights and welfare of these vulnerable groups?			
Can the study be done without involving vulnerable populations?			
Will the study target or exclude a particular ethnic or language group?			
3.1 Qualitative research:		,	
Is the method of sample selection appropriate and clear?			
If the sample size cannot be delineated before the study begins, are a rationale and plan provided?			
Has the researcher clearly described how they will determine when adequate sampling (saturation) has occurred?	П		П



Has the study population been involved in previous research and/or is the study population currently involved in research to the extent that the current study may present a significant additional burden?			
4. Recruitment strategy	YES	NO	N/A
Are the methods for recruiting participants clearly explained and appropriate?			
Is the location, setting and timing of recruitment acceptable?			
Are screening procedures prior to recruitment acceptable?			
Will any potential participants be in a dependent relationship with the researcher/recruiter? (e.g. Student/lecturer, employee/employer, patient/doctor)			
Has the researcher taken steps to ensure that the participant's decision to enrol will not be inappropriately influenced by this relationship?			
5. Research procedures	YES	NO	N/A
Are the rationale and details of research procedures described in sufficient detail?			
Are the research procedures acceptable and in keeping with study aims and objectives?			
Is there a clear distinction between research procedures and standard clinical practice and/or standard care?			
Are the proposed tests/measurements appropriate, valid and reliable to answer the study question in the local context?			
Is there a clear description of plans to inform participants of specific research results e.g. Incidental findings, clinically relevant findings?			
Are those performing the research procedures adequately trained?			
6.Risk-benefit assessment	YES	NO	N/A
Are risks (Physical, psychological, social, and economic) and benefits (to individuals and/or community) adequately:  • Identified;  • Evaluated; and  • Described?  Do risks and benefits stated in the protocol match those described in the informed consent form?			
Are potential risks minimised?			
Are potential risks minimised:  Are potential benefits maximised?			
Will counselling or support services be available, if required?			
Are potential benefits realistically described and not over emphasized?			
Are risks reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits?			
Are risks reasonable in relation to importance of anticipated knowledge gained?			
Is the risk/benefit ratio acceptable for proceeding with the research?			
Is the population from which study participants are drawn likely to benefit from the research?			
7. Clinical drug/device trial	YES	NO	N/A
Has the national drug regulatory authority approval been obtained, if required?			
Are the drug or device safety and efficacy data sufficient to warrant the proposed phase of testing?			
Is the use of placebo adequately justified from both a scientific and an ethical perspective?			
Are there adequate provisions for safety monitoring including a DSMB?			
8. Data analysis and statistical analysis	YES	NO	N/A
Are the plans for data and statistical analysis defined and justified?	П	П	П



Has the sample size and selection been adequately justified?			
8.1 Qualitative research:	YES	NO	N/A
Is it clear and well-motivated why or how qualitative data collection methods are the most appropriate for analysis?			
Is there clarity in the analytic approach?			
Does the description of the analytic approach indicate how this will allow the researcher to pursue their objectives?			
Has the researcher adequately described how they intend to go about coding and analysis?			
9. Compensation and costs for subjects	YES	NO	N/A
Are there adequate plans to avoid out-of-pocket expenses and costs to participants?			
Is the amount or type of compensation or reimbursement reasonable and well justified?			
If children or adolescents are involved who receives compensation and is this appropriate?			
10. Privacy and confidentiality	YES	NO	N/A
Are there adequate measures to protect the privacy and ensure the confidentiality of the research subjects?			
Does the protocol describe site-specific measure to protect privacy?			
Does the protocol describe how written records, audio or videotapes, and digital recordings will be secured, for how long, and whose responsibility?			
For focus groups, are participants informed that confidentiality cannot be guaranteed as group members may disclose what we discussed outside the research setting?			
Are activities that could potentially result in notification e.g. Abuse, neglect, potential for harming self or others, addressed in the protocol and IC form?			
11. Process of obtaining informed consent and assent	YES	NO	N/A
Is the process adequately described? Or has a waiver of informed consent or waiver of documentation of informed consent been requested and adequately justified?			
Are all required elements of informed consent contained in the ICF?			
Is the language level appropriate?			
Does the process minimise the potential for undue influence?			
Does the process provide sufficient time, privacy and an adequate setting for participants to decide?			
Will the ICF be translated into all required languages?			
Will the ICF be translated into all required languages?  Is assent required?			_
·			
Is assent required?			
Is assent required?  12. Other  \[ \text{N/A}	☐ ☐ YES	□ □ NO	□ □ N/A
Is assent required?  12. Other  Is the investigator and research team adequately qualified to carry out/supervise the research?	U YES	NO	
Is assent required?  12. Other  Is the investigator and research team adequately qualified to carry out/supervise the research?  Does the PI have 'human subjects protection training' /GCP?	YES	NO	
Is assent required?  12. Other  Is the investigator and research team adequately qualified to carry out/supervise the research?  Does the PI have 'human subjects protection training' /GCP?  Is the budget adequate?	YES	NO	
Is assent required?  12. Other  Is the investigator and research team adequately qualified to carry out/supervise the research?  Does the PI have 'human subjects protection training' /GCP?  Is the budget adequate?  Are there any administrative deficiencies with the application, such as missing documents?	YES		
Is assent required?  12. Other			
Is assent required?  12. Other  Is the investigator and research team adequately qualified to carry out/supervise the research?  Does the PI have 'human subjects protection training' /GCP?  Is the budget adequate?  Are there any administrative deficiencies with the application, such as missing documents?  Has a material/data transfer agreement been submitted if required?  13. At the end of the study	YES   YES   YES   YES	NO CONTRACTOR NO	