

# The need for educational reform in South African higher education

Ian Scott  
CHE Colloquium  
May 2009

# Inter-related drivers for improving graduate output and outcomes in South Africa

- Improving the effectiveness of curricula for contemporary conditions
  - breadth of subject matter
  - multilingualism
  - the literacies: academic, quantitative, information/ICT
  - education for responsible citizenship
  - experiential and service learning
  - .....
- Towards equity of access and outcomes
  - in the interests of national developmental needs

# Drivers for improving graduate output and outcomes in South Africa

- Importance of prioritising and evaluating developmental interventions against the key goals of equity and development (Badat et al 1993)

# Drivers of educational reform in HE internationally

- ‘Modernisation’: adjusting to changing world and regional conditions
  - international moves for ‘transformation’
  - ‘Graduates for the 21<sup>st</sup> century’
- Equity
  - international moves to widen participation, for economic and social reasons
- Standardisation, mobility and management
  - e.g. Bologna, credit recognition systems
  - in SA, the ‘Hons’ problem

## (a) Equity: the national picture

- Participation rates and their implications

# Participation rates\* and their significance

- Overall: 16%
  - White: 60%
  - Indian: 51%
  - Black: 12%
  - Coloured: 12%
- 
- *Approximate gross enrolment rates derived from HEMIS 2005:  
all participants as % of 20-24 age-group  
Source: Scott, Yeld and Hendry 2007*

# Equity and efficiency: the national picture

- Participation rates and their implications
  - view that large proportion of students 'do not belong' in higher education is not tenable
- Cohort graduation rates after 5 years
  - 50% in contact university programmes
  - 32% in contact 'technikon' programmes
  - patterns similar in many subject areas

# Equity: the national picture

- Equity of outcomes
  - in degree programmes, black completion rate generally less than half the white completion rate
  - neutralising access gains
- <5% of black age-group succeeding in any form of higher education



# Equity: implications of the patterns

- Graduate output not meeting national needs in terms of 'economic development or social cohesion' (Pandor)
- The equity and development agendas have converged
- Status quo is failing the majority
- Compelling indicators of need for reform in the educational process in higher education
  - the CHE project

# Whose responsibility?

- Factors beyond the higher education sector's control
  - 'money and poor schooling' (M&G 2006)
- Factors within the higher education sector's control
  - The educational process in higher education is itself a major variable affecting who succeeds and fails

# Factors within the higher education sector's control

- The curriculum as framework for enacting our educational goals and values
  - enabling or limiting
- The effectiveness of the teaching-and-learning process
  - especially capacity to cater for diversity
- The learning environment and institutional culture
  - 'Involving Colleges' (Kuh)

# Institutional differentiation as the solution?

- Mission differentiation an important goal
- But given embedded inequalities, formal stratification risks 'ghettoisation'
- Particular obligations of top research-led universities irt equity and efficiency
- A key challenge is dealing with diversity in educational background ('bad' diversity) in individual institutions

# Curriculum provision for equity

- Graduation in regulation time
  - in contact degree programmes, predominantly <30%
  - for black students, predominantly <15%
- Need for overt allowance for differential curriculum duration for different student groups
  - unitary curriculum structures cannot meet the legitimate needs of the diverse (necessary) intake

# Note on the 'Honours problem'

- View that Hons should be added on to current 3-year degrees to make a single 4-year degree the standard 'formative' qualification
- Effect of this on 'equity' and 'modernisation'?
  - no allowance for diversity
  - likely deterioration in equity of outcomes
  - likely reduction in existing Hons standards
  - no space for non-core development

## (b) Meeting contemporary needs

- Contestation over value of non-core disciplinary learning esp in 'formative' programmes
  - dangers of superficial, decontextualised 'generic skills' approach
  - and of conflating the whole 'modernisation' agenda with this
- But focusing on graduate attributes is non-trivial
  - not least in professional programmes - cf. current issues in Health, Law and Engineering
  - includes the key question of teaching-research linkage in undergraduate education

# Why is it such a problem?

- Opposition arising from, *inter alia*:
  - epistemological or ideological considerations
  - vested interests, including ownership of curriculum space and related resource benefits
- Chronic shortage of curriculum space
  - cf. experiences with initiatives in multilingualism, writing, numeracy, experiential and service learning
  - What would give way, without reducing necessary standards?



# Implications for curriculum structure

- In SA context, not possible to responsibly address equity and contemporary needs without extending the standard 'formal time' of first degrees and diplomas

# Implications for mainstream 'teaching'

- Need for educational design and teaching approaches that accommodate the realities of the student intake (rather than the 'oughts')
  - and effectively address the diversity that will continue to characterise many mainstream courses
- Performance patterns indicate that the traditional craft approaches are not proving effective in contemporary conditions

# Implications for institutional orientation and ethos

- The academic community's acceptance of responsibility for the diverse student intake needed to meet South Africa's needs
  - the Soudien report
- Overtly addressing the tensions between equity and development, the local and the international, 'teaching' and 'research'...
- Creating conditions, within and outside the formal curriculum, that actively foster learning

# What will it take?

- Effort
  - ‘If there is a single factor that seems to distinguish colleges and universities that have truly made a difference on behalf of minority students, it is *attention*.’ (Carey 2008: 8)
- Educational expertise
  - systematic knowledge of teaching-and-learning as a basis for effectively dealing with curriculum reform, teaching in contemporary conditions, and creating a stimulating learning environment

# What will it take to foster educational effort and expertise?

- Raising the status of teaching
  - through recognition and the reward system
- Professional accountability and ‘integrity’  
(Rowland 2007)
- Establishing educational scholarship and research as a valid and intellectually challenging field of work

# Core business

- The Lisbon Council (2008)  
(with reference to the EU):
  - ‘First and foremost, our universities ... exist to educate and prepare people to be fully-functioning, well-developed members of our advanced, post-industrial society.’
  - ‘... seeking excellence in research should never be allowed to become an excuse for underperformance in the educational tasks [of higher education].’

# References

- Carey, K. 2008. *Graduation Rate Watch: Making Minority Student Success a Priority*. Washington DC: Education Sector.  
[http://www.educationsector.org/usr\\_doc/Graduation\\_Rate\\_Watch.pdf](http://www.educationsector.org/usr_doc/Graduation_Rate_Watch.pdf)
- Ederer, P., Schuller, P. and Willms, S. 2008. *University Systems Ranking: Citizens and Society in the Age of Knowledge*. Brussels: The Lisbon Council.
- Rowland, S. 2007. The integrity of academic enquiry. *International policies and practices for academic enquiry: An international colloquium*. Winchester, 19–21 April. [http://portal-live.solent.ac.uk/university/rtconference/2007/resources/stephen\\_rowland.pdf](http://portal-live.solent.ac.uk/university/rtconference/2007/resources/stephen_rowland.pdf)
- Scott, I., Yeld, N. and Hendry, J. 2007. *A case for improving teaching and learning in South African higher education*. Higher Education Monitor No. 6. Pretoria: Council on Higher Education.  
<http://www.che.ac.za/documents/d000155/index.php>