Working with your SASSE results

SASSEville University

User workshop, March 2015

www.ufs.ac.za T: +27(0)51 401 9111 info@ufs.ac.za



Copyright reserved Kopiereg voorbehou





1. What do you know about your students? Write down three things you KNOW about your students

2. What are the hot topics around the student experience at your institution?

3. What are the key concerns around teaching and learning?



SASSE Report

- Your report consists of the following:
- Snapshot
- Engagement Indicators
- High-Impact Practices
- □ Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons
- Respondent Characteristics
- LSSE-SASSE Combined Report
- □ Frequencies Distributions
- Respondent Profile



Your SASSE report





Snapshot

Page 1 of SASSEville report







Administration Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Male	Female
First-year	528	8.6%	36%	64%
Senior	1605	9.1%	35%	65%



Snapshot

Engagement Indicators

Your students compared with

Comparison Group

Theme	Engagement Indicator	First-year	Senior
-	Higher-Order Learning (HO)	Δ	
Academic Challenge	Reflective and Integrative Learning (RI)	Δ	Δ
	Learning Strategies (LS)	Δ	Δ
	Quantitative Reasoning (QR)		Δ
Learning with Peers	Collaborative Learning (CL)		Δ
	Discussions with Diverse Others (DD)		
Experiences with Staff	Student-Staff Interaction (SS)	A	Δ
	Effective Teaching Practices (ET)	A	
Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions (QI)	Δ	Δ
	Supportive Environment (SE)	Δ	Δ

▲ Your students' average was significantly higher (p<.05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

△ Your students' average was significantly higher (p<.05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

-- No significant difference.

∀ Your students' average was significantly lower (p<.05) with an
 effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
</p>

Your students' average was significantly lower (p<.05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.</p>





Engagement Indicators

Your students' average was significantly higher (p<.05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.</p>

△ Your students' average was significantly higher (p<.05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.</p>

— No significant difference.

✓ Your students' average was significantly lower (p<.05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.</p>

Your students' average was significantly lower (p<.05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.</p>





Engagement Indicators

Your students compared with Comparison Group

Theme	Engagement Indicator	First-year	Senior
	Higher-Order Learning (HO)	Δ	
Academic Challenge	Reflective and Integrative Learning (RI)	Δ	Δ
readenne enanerige	Learning Strategies (LS)	Δ	Δ
	Quantitative Reasoning (QR)		Δ
Learning with Peers	Collaborative Learning (CL)		Δ
Learning with reers	Discussions with Diverse Others (DD)		
Experiences with Staff	Student-Staff Interaction (SS)		Δ
Experiences with stuff	Effective Teaching Practices (ET)	A	A
Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions (QI)	Δ	Δ
campus charonnen	Supportive Environment (SE)	Δ	Δ



q



Engagement Indicators

Page 4 of SASSEville report





Using Results: Effect Size

- What is effect size?
 - Significant difference versus practical difference
 - We calculate effect size by dividing the difference between two means by their pooled standard deviation
 - Cohen's d
 - Small: *d* = .2
 - Medium: *d* = .5
 - Large: *d* = .8





Using Results: Weighting

- Why weight data?
 - Make the sample representative of the population
- How was SASSE data weighted?
 - Applied to institutional reports to adjust respondents within institutions by gender
 - Between institutions to reflect the institutions' relative population size.



Academic Challenge: First-year students

Mean comparisons

		Your first-year students compared with							
	SASSEville	Compariso	n Group	SASSE Overall					
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size				
Higher-Order Learning	42.46	40.77 *	.13	40.75 **	.13				
Reflective & Integrative Learning	35.20	33.96 *	.12	33.54 **	.16				
Learning Strategies	41.49	38.46 ***	.23	39.21 ***	.17				
Quantitative Reasoning	27.14	26.01	.07	25.87	.08				

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Academic Challenge: Seniors

Mean comparisons

		Your seniors compared with						
	SASSEville	Compariso	n Group	SASSE O	verall			
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size			
Higher-Order Learning	42.32	41.62	.05	40.80 ***	.12			
Reflective & Integrative Learning	36.40	34.68 ***	.16	33.98 ***	.22			
Learning Strategies	40.88	37.86 ***	.23	38.16 ***	.20			
Quantitative Reasoning	27.53	25.95 **	.10	26.24 **	.08			

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Learning with peers: First-year students

Mean comparisons

		Your first-year students compared with						
	SASSEville	Comparison Group		SASSE	Overall			
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size			
Collaborative Learning	35.70	34.37	.10	35.87	01			
Discussions with Diverse Others	41.07	40.41	.04	40.11	.06			

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Learning with peers: Seniors

Mean comparisons

		Your seniors compared with						
	SASSEville	Comparison Group		SASSE Overall				
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size			
Collaborative Learning	38.31	35.59 ***	.21	36.93 ***	.11			
Discussions with Diverse Others	39.59	39.92	02	39.20	.03			

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Experience with Staff: First-year students

Mean comparisons

		Your first-year students compared with						
	SASSEville	Comparison Group		SASSE Overall				
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size			
Student-Staff Interaction	15.82	11.14 ***	.38	12.75 ***	.24			
Effective Teaching Practices	44.98	39.62 ***	.41	40.84 ***	.32			

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Experience with Staff: Seniors

Mean comparisons

		Your seniors compared with							
	SASSEville	Comparison Group		SASSE Overall					
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size				
Student-Staff Interaction	17.15	13.50 ***	.28	15.86 ***	.09				
Effective Teaching Practices	44.04	39.27 ***	.35	40.70 ***	.24				

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Campus Environment: First-years students

Mean comparisons

		You	Your first-year students compared with						
	SASSEville	Comparison Group		SASSE Overall					
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size				
Quality of Interactions	37.26	35.17 **	.15	35.23 **	.15				
Supportive Environment	36.33	34.49 *	.13	35.03	.09				

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.

Campus Environment: Seniors

Mean comparisons

			Your seniors of	ompared with	
	SASSEville	Comparison Group		SASSE Overall	
Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size	Mean	Effect size
Quality of Interactions	36.70	35.26 ***	.11	35.64 **	.08
Supportive Environment	36.37	32.19 ***	.29	33.12 ***	.22

Notes: Results weighted by gender (and institution size for comparison groups); *p<.05, **p<.01; Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the summary page are based on effect size and p-value before rounding.



High-Impact Practices

Page 16 of SASSEville report





High-Impact Practices: First-year students

Practical work

Which of the following have you done or do you plan to do before you graduate?

Practical work related to your studies (internship, work integrated learning, clinical placement, field experience, etc.)





High-Impact Practices: First-year students





Done or in progress Plan to do Have not decided Do not plan to do

High-Impact Practices: Seniors

Practical work

Which of the following have you done or do you plan to do before you graduate?

Practical work related to your studies (internship, work integrated learning, clinical placement, field experience, etc.)



🗖 Done or in progress 📕 Plan to do 📕 Have not decided 🔳 Do not plan to do



Student societies

Which of the following have you done or do you plan to do before you graduate?

Participate in student societies (law, psychology, etc.) where students engage in topics related to their modules/subjects

High-Impact Practices: Seniors







High-Impact Practices: Practical Work

First-year students

Seniors





Done or in progress Plan to do Have not decided Do not plan to do

High-Impact Practices: Student Societies





Done or in progress Plan to do Have not decided Do not plan to do

High-Impact Practices: Service Learning





High-Impact Practices: Research with a staff member











Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons

Page 21 of SASSEville report





Interpreting Your Report





Now look at your file







Respondent Profile

Page 73 of SASSEville report





Interpreting Your Report

	SASSE	● 2014 SASSE Respondent Characteristics SASSEville												
				Fi	rst-Year	Stude	nts←		-2	\rightarrow	Senior S	Student	ts	
•			SASS	Eville	SASSE	Overall	Comparis	on Group	SASS	Eville	SASSE	Overall	Comparis	on Group
₿	Item wording or description name	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
23	Thinking about this current fulltime	Full time	212	96%	2600	96%	175	88%	210	28%	8300	98%	730	97%
	academic term, are you registered as a full-time or part-	Part time	9	4%	100	4%	25	13%	540	72%	200	2%	20	3%
	time student?	Total	221	100%	2700	100%	200	100%	750	100%	8500	100%	750	100%
24	How many years have you been timereg	One	145	66%	2700	100%	200	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	registered as a student at this institution?	Two	70 5	32%	0	0%	0	0%	250	33%	2500	29%	240	32%
		Three or more	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	500	67%	6100	71%	520	68%
		Total	220	100%	2700	100%	200	100%	750	100%	8600	100%	760	100%



Now look at your file







LSSE-SASSE Combined Report

Page 83 of SASSEville report





Interpreting Your Report





LSSE-SASSE Combined Report: Academic Challenge (p. 87)

Additional Academic Challenge Items

				Very much or Quite			
	LSSE Item	Variable	Class	a bit %			
21	In your selected module/subject, to what extent do students put forth their best work?	fchallenge	FY		55		
			Snr		51		
			Both		68		

	SASSE Item	Variable	Class	Very much %	Quite a bit %
	During the current academic year, to what		FY	9	6
10	extent have your modules/subjects required you to do your best work?	challenge	Snr	9	6
LSSE-SASSE Combined Report: Experience with Staff (p. 90)

Experiences with Staff

		Lecturer Re	esponses					
Student-Staff Interaction								
		Very oft	en or Oft	en				
LSSE Item	Variable	Class	%					
Percentage of lecturers who fre	quently did e	each of the fo	ollowing	3				
with the undergraduate studen current school year:	ts they teach	or advise du	iring th	e)				
-		FY	84					
Discussed their academic performance	fSFperform	Snr	68					
		Both	64					
		l		J	S	tudent Response	25	
				Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	
SASSE Item		Variable	Class	%	%	%	%	
Distribution of studer year:	nt response	s to how of	ten the			owing during th	ne current ac	ade
Discussed your a	cademic		FY	24	1	34	42	
3d performance wit lecturer	ha	SSperform	Snr	23	3	35	42	

LSSE-SASSE Combined Report: Engagement Items (p. 100)

Additional Engagement Items (continued)

Lecturer Responses

Module/Subject Engagement



Student Responses

SASSE Item V	'ariable	Class	Very often %	Often %	Sometimes %	Never %	
Distribution of student responses to	how oft	en the	ey have don	e the follo	owing during th	ne current a	cademic
year:							
Attended class without		FY	3	12	8	6	
1c having completed readings un or assignments	nprepared	Snr	5	16	7	9	

LSSE-SASSE Combined Report: Engagement Items (p. 102)

Additional Engagement Items (continued)

Lecturer Responses

Time Spent by Students

LSSE Item		Variable	Class 16 or m	ore hou	rs %				
Percentage o	of lecturers who thin	k the typica	l student in	their					
selected mod	dule/subject spends	more than :	16 hours on	each o	of				
the following	g in an average 7-day	/ week:							
	ig and socialising vith friends, video		FY	63					
20e games,	watching TV or , sport, mobile and	ftmrelax	Snr	56					
	chatting, etc.)		Both	54	J	St	udent Respon	ses	
-	sasse Item		Variable	Class	0-5 hours %	6-15 hours %	16-25 hours %	26 or more hours	
-	SASSE Item Distribution of study	ent response	Variable es to how ma	Class any ho	%	%	%	hours	typical 7-
-		socialising			%	%	% each of the fo	hours	typical 7-



Frequency Distributions

Page 104 of SASSEville report



UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA



Interpreting Your Report

1	ISSE		LSSE 2014 Frequencies									
			SASSEville				,					
		Variable Name	Response Options		-Year urers		< nior urers		/ear & .ecturers	То	tal	
				Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
1 .	. How important is it to you that under	graduates a	at your institution do the fo	llowing b	efore th	ey gradı	uate?					
a.	Practical work related to their studies (internship, work integrated learning,	fintern	Not important	5	3%	5	3%	3	5%	13	3%	
	clinical placement, field experience, etc.)		Somewhat important	23	16%	23	13%	15	27%	61	16%	
			Important	36	24%	30	17%	25	45%	91	24%	
			Very important	83	56%	12	67%	12	22%	107	28%	
			Total	147	100%	178	100%	55	100%	380	100	
b.	Hold a formal leadership position in a	fforlead	Not important	26	18%	32	18%	10	14%	68	179	
	student organisation or group on campus (societies, political	\uparrow	Somewhat important	78	53%	75	42%	25	36%	178	45%	
	organisations, residence committees, etc.)	R	Important ←	33	-5	50	28%	30	43%	113	29%	
	ett.)	4	Very important	9	0%	19	11%	5	7%	33	8%	
			Total	146	100%	178	100%	70	100%	394	100	
c.	Participate in student societies (law,	flerncom	Not important	30	20%	48	27%	24	24%	102	24%	
	psychology, etc.) where students engage in topics related to their		Somewhat important	60	41%	63	36%	48	48%	171	40%	
	subject		Important	739	27%	40	23%	20	20%	99	23%	
			Very important	18	7 12%	26	15%	8	8%	52	129	
			Total 6	147	100%	177	100%	100	100%	424	100	

Now look at your file







Respondent Profile

Page 138 of SASSEville report



UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA



Interpreting Your Report

	LSSE		LSSE 2014	Respond ASSEville	ent Cha Univers	racteris ity	tics				
3				First-Year	Lecturers	Senior L	ecturen	First-Year Lectu		То	tal
Ţ	Item wording or description	Variable name	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
1.	What is the broad academic discipline of your appointment?	apdiscol	Business, Commerce, and Management	7	15%	10	9%	2	8%	19	10%
			Education ← 5	11	24%	12	10%	4	16%	27	14%
			Human and Social Sciences	19	41%	61	53%	14	56%	95	50%
			Science, Engineering, and Technology	9	20%	33	28%	5	20%	47	25%
			Total	46	100%	116	100%	25	100%	188	100%
5.	During the current academic year, does your institution	empstat	Part-time contract appointment	7	15%	4	3%	1	4%	12	6%
	consider you to be employed	\uparrow	Full-time contract appointment	3	7%	6	5%	1	4%	10	5%
	,		Part-time permanent appointment	2	4%	7	6%	2	8%	11	6%
		4	Full-time permanent appointment	7 34	74%	98	85%	21	84%	154	82%
			Total	46	≯ _{100%}	115	100%	25	100%	187	100%



Now look at your file





Data tool

- Sophisticated programming making working with data user-friendly
- Interact with own data
- Drill down to small cohort of students
- Look at what is interesting in your context within the institution
- Provide direction on where to launch interventions



Institutional dashboard

Step1	Copy data from combined sheet into SASSE_DATA	Check Box
Step2	Click on "button1"	Check Box
Step3	Click on "button2"	Check Box









After the break...











UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA

